



New Jersey Offshore Wind Safety Training Challenge

Evaluation and Scoring Criteria

Proposals will be evaluated by an Evaluation Committee comprised of OSHE and NJEDA staff, with external Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) in an advisory role. The Evaluation Committee will evaluate, score and rank applications received in response to this solicitation based on primary criteria: (I) demonstrated pathway to GWO Basic Safety & Sea Survival Training accreditation, (II) implementation experience and capabilities, and (III) use of resources. **Note: A minimum score of 80 points must be earned to be considered as a Challenge winner.**

Scoring criteria for each component of the evaluation:

No credit..... No experience meeting evaluation criteria or credible plan to execute
Partial Credit..... Compelling plan but minimal experience or evidence to suggest capability
Full Credit..... Compelling plan and ample evidence for ability to execute

I. Demonstrated Pathway to GWO Basic Safety & Sea Survival Training Accreditation

Description of Scoring Components & Maximum Points for Each Component

Demonstrates an ability to deliver GWO accredited training on all modules of the Basic Safety and Sea Survival Training Standard by the end of 2022	10
Provides evidence of an ability to establish a fit for purpose training facility in that effectively meets standards as defined by the GWO Basic Safety and Sea Survival Training Standard	10
Demonstrates a clear ability to secure instructors that are qualified to deliver Basic Safety and Sea Survival Training that is accredited by GWO	10
Able to launch and operate a management system in compliance with GWO Requirements	5
Total	35

II. Implementation Experience & Capabilities

Description of Scoring Components & Maximum Points for Each Component

Details a compelling and realistic plan for establishing the program and facility in New Jersey by the end of 2022 with clear and achievable milestones	15
Has prior experience in establishing programs and facilities of similar scale and scope	5
Provides evidence and assurances of ability to meet the proposed timetable	5
Has requisite internal expertise assigned specifically to this project	5
Maintains existing relationships with offshore wind developers and manufacturers and demonstrates an ability to work with them to drive course participation	5

Proposes convincing collaborative approach for engaging and partnering with other workforce development organizations in New Jersey	5
Proposes compelling approach for promoting diversity throughout the project	5
Total	<u>45</u>

III. Use of Resources

Description of Scoring Components & Maximum Points for Each Component	
Defines a clear project financing strategy for development and operation of the program, outlining costs to be covered by grant and defining funding sources for project costs that exceed the grant amount <i>[The actual amount will not be factored into the evaluation in isolation. Instead, we look to the overall financial viability of the project.]</i>	10
Has existing facilities/equipment/assets that can be leveraged to achieve efficiencies in establishing the program and facility	10
Total	<u>20</u>

Total score: Maximum 100 points